UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,407	07/07/2006	Tsuneo Nakata	070639-0148	2764	
	7590 09/15/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500		LEE, CHI HO A			
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2472		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	tion No. Applicant(s)					
		10/585,407		NAKATA, TSUNEO				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
		Andrew Lee		2472				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuser to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DA f 37 CFR 1.136 nication. utory period wi ill, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may Il apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	NICATION a reply be time ONTHS from t ABANDONEE	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>17 Jui</i>	ne 2010					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	/ -							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,14-18 and 20-25</u> is/	are rejecte	ed.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4,13 and 19 is/are objected t	o.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	pted or b) ☐ objected t	o by the E	xaminer.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any object	•	•	-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction	on is required if the drawir	ng(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e) Mail Date								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/585,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 2472

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 9, 10, 16, 22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Curtis U.S. Patent Number 5,247,464.

Re claims 1, 9, 10, 16, 24, fig 4 (reception node) teaches MS/TRCV 30 (a receiving means) for receiving transmitted packet wherein the packet includes source address 56 (affixing probe information for uniquely identifying...said group packet); memory 54 (a recording means) for recording the arrival time of the received packet; processor 34 (a determining means; a calculating means) for determining the source address of the receive packet and calculating the speed of the packet based on the difference between the arrival times of the packets (See col. 2, lines 5-42 & col. 6, lines 30 +).

Re claims 7, 22, refer to claim 1, wherein the transmitting node includes a packet assembler (not shown: a grouping means) for assembling packets with a source address (affixing probe information).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/585,407 Page 3

Art Unit: 2472

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 2, 3, 8, 11, 12, 17, 18, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis U.S. Patent Number 5,247,464 in view of Heiner et al PG-PUB 2004/0203827.

Re claims 2, 3, 8, 11, 12, 17, 18, 23, Curtis fails to teach selecting a path based on the communication load. However, Heiner et al teaches selecting the path based on the determined weight (communication load). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to select a path based on the weight of the path for reliability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to have combined the teachings.

Claim 5, 6, 14, 15, 20, 21, 25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis U.S. Patent Number 5,247,464 in view of PG-PUB 2003/0154301.

Re claims 5, 6, 14, 15, 20, 21, 25, Curtis fails to teach "generating one dummy packet ...within a predetermined time.". However, '301 teaches after predetermined period of time, an idle packet (dummy packet) is generated for synchronization [0069]. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to generated idle packets for synchronizing for lost packets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to have combined the teachings.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 13, 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with claims 1 and 4; 10 and 13; 16 and 19, prior art fails to teach the claimed calculating means for computing the communication speed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re Claim 1, Applicant argues that Curtis fails to teach, "grouping at least two of received packet", probe information for uniquely identifying said group packet to each packet of the packet group", "determining whether the probe information is included in said received packet", and "calculating a communication speed based upon a difference between the arrival times of the packets".

Examiner disagrees.

As set forth in the last OA, "grouping at least two of received packet" is associated with the designated end point for determining the distance. Curtis teaches the first and second detectors that detect the arrivals of the first and second packet by detecting the preamble of the corresponding packets. Hence, the "grouping of the at least two of receive packet" is associated with the preamble in the packet (probe information) that uniquely identifies the source and destination node for each packet.

Curtis further teaches that the speed at which the packet travels on the segment can be determined by knowing the length of the segment and the time it takes for the packet to traverse the segment (See col. 4, lines 10-15).

Re Claim 25, idle packets are dummy packets. Using dummy or idle packet would have not matter as long as the arrival time of the packet is determined.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,407 Page 6

Art Unit: 2472

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2472